# SCREENING OF THE GENUS CERCOSPORA FOR SECONDARY METABOLITES\*

GEMMA ASSANTE\*, ROMANO LOCCI\*, LORENZO CAMARDA‡, LUCIO MERLINI†‡ and GIANLUCA NASINI‡

\* Isituto di Patologia Vegetale e, † Isituto di Biochimica Generale, Facoltà di Agraria dell'Università, Milano, ‡ Istituto di Chimica del Politecnico, § Milano, Italy

(Revised received 5 July 1976)

**Key Word Index**—Cercospora; Deuteromycetes; cercosporin; cercosporin esters; 3-methoxy-2,5,7-trihydroxy-1,4-naphthaquinone; cis-4,6-dihydroxymellein.

Abstract—Screening of 61 species of Cercospora grown on a potato-agar medium showed the presence of the phytotoxin cercosporin in 24 of them, and of dothistromin in 8. Some strains of C. beticola produce a yellow phytotoxin (CBT). The new metabolites cercosporin esters, ligustrone A, B, C, taiwapyrone, 3-methoxy-2,5,7-trihydroxy-1,4-naphthaquinone, cis-4,6-dihydroxymellein and (-)-11-acetyldehydrocurvularin were isolated besides the known cynodontin, (-)-dehydrocurvularin, (+)-mellein and cis-3S,4S-4-hydroxymellein.

#### INTRODUCTION

Among plant pathogens, the genus Cercospora (Deuteromycetes) [1] is well known, as it includes several species responsible for leaf spot diseases of many plants, including some of economic importance, such as sugar beet [2] and soya beans [3]. The possible phytotoxic role played by secondary metabolites of Cercospora has been emphasized by Schlösser [2]. However, the first report of the production of a phytotoxin by C. kikuchii is due to Kuyama and Tamura [4], who isolated cercosporin. The structure of cercosporin (1) was elucidated a few years later [5], and its unusual stereochemical features clarified [6, 7]. Reports of the isolation of cercosporin from other C. species, namely C. beticola [8], C. hayii [9], C. personata [10] and C. ricinella [11] have also appeared. Recently, the biosynthesis of cercosporin has been studied [12], and its photodynamic and antibacterial activity demonstrated [13].

Due to the interest of cercosporin as a phytotoxin, to the possible synergism with other metabolites, and in the hope of finding new biologically active substances, we have undertaken the screening of a large number of Cercospora species for secondary metabolites.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results reported in Table 1 show that 23 of the 61 species of *Cercospora* examined produce cercosporin (1), which could so far be considered as a metabolite typical of the genus.

Besides cercosporin, Cercospora setariae produces four acetate and benzoate side chain esters (2-5). Their structure and configuration was easily established from NMR and MS, and by hydrolysis to cercosporin with

§ Centro del C.N.R. per le Sostanze Organiche Naturali.

sodium methoxide in methanol. In particular the monoacetate monobenzoate (5) was obtained as a crystalline compound suitable for X-ray analysis, which is now in progress [14].

All the other metabolites which have been isolated are of polyketide origin. C. ligustrina produces the new chromones ligustrone A, B and C (6-8), whereas C. taiwanensis yields mellein (9), cis-3S-4S-4-hydroxymellein (10), and taiwapyrone (11). The elucidation of these structures has been reported elsewhere [15, 16]. From C. cari cynodontin (1,4,5,8-tetrahydroxy-2-methylanthraquinone) was obtained, a known metabolite of some Helminthosporium sp. and of other fungi [17]. The major metabolites of seven species are the two epimers dothistromin (12) and 2-epidothistromin (13) [18]. It is noteworthy that dothistromin has been recently isolated from the fungus Dothistroma pini, and recognized as the phytotoxin responsible for the 'pine blight' disease [19]. A culture of C. smilacis showed also the presence of other anthraquinones, averufin and averythrin, which both are produced by Dothistroma pini.

A new metabolite (14) was obtained from C. melonis. The UV, NMR and MS data indicated that it was a trihydroxymethoxy-1,4-naphthaquinone. The presence in the NMR spectrum of signals from two meta aromatic hydrogens, which were shifted to lower field by acetylation and were not decoupled by irradiation of the methoxy protons and of only one chelated OH, restricted the choice to the two structures, 3-methoxy-2,5,7-trihydroxy-1,4naphthaquinone (14) and 2-methoxy-3,5,7-trihydroxy-1,4-naphthaquinone (18). In order to distinguish between these two possibilities, the latter compound was prepared by selective demethylation of 2,3-dimethoxy-5,7dihydroxy-1,4-naphthaquinone (17) with HCl in ethanol, [20], a reaction based on the different hydrolysis rates of the two methoxyls, the OH at C-3 being more acidic than that at C-2 in the parent tetrahydroxyquinone. As the product (18) appeared different from the metabolite

<sup>\*</sup> Part 4 of a series on metabolites of Cercospora: for preceding papers see refs. [5], [15] and [16].

Table 1. Species of Cercospora examined for phytotoxins

	Species	Source*	Metabolites	
			Cercosporin (1)†	Others
1	Cercospora aleuritidis	CBS 281.62‡	_	
	Cercospora althaeina	CBS 248.67	_	
3	Cercospora ampelopsidis	CBS 249.67	_	
ļ	Cercospora ampelopsiais Cercospora angolensis	CBS 149.53	_	
5	Cercospora apii	CBS 119.25		
6	Cercospora ariminiensis	CBS 137.56	++	
7	Cercospora armoraciae	CBS 250.67	— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	
Ś	Cercosporu astragali	CBS 537.71	_	
9	Cercospora astragati Cercospora bellynchii	CBS 150.49	_	
0	Cercospora bertoreae	CBS 538.71	++	CBT
1	Cercospora bertoreae Cercospora beticola	CBS 152.52	++	СВІ
2	Cercospora beticola	CBS 132.32	++	CBT
3			++	CBT
, 1	Cercospora beticola	IPV-F586‡		CBT
	Cercospora beticola	IPV-F587‡	++	CBT
	Cercospora beticola	IPV-F588‡	++	CBT
	Cercospora beticola	IPV-F573§	-	CB1
	Cercospora bizzozeriana	CBS 540.71	+	
3	Cercospora bolleana	CBS 541.71	_	
•	Cercospora calotropidis	CBS 129.30	<del>-</del>	
)	Cercospora canescens	CBS 153.55	+	
l	Cercospora cantuariensis	CBS 112.24	_	
2	Cercospora cari	CBS 148.52	<b>→</b>	cynodontin
;	Cercospora carotae	CBS 101.65	++	
ŀ	Cercospora chenopodii	CBS 126.29	+	
,	Cercospora cistinearum	CBS 257.67	++	
5	Cercospora cladosporioides	CBS 159.48	+	
7	Cercospora diazu	CBS 138.28	++	
3	Cercospora dulcamarae	CBS 544.71	+	
)	Cercospora erysimi	CBS 545.71	++	
)	Cercospora exosporioides	CBS 751.70	_	
1	Cercospora ferruginea	CBS 546.71	_	dothistromin (12)
	Cercospora festucae	CBS 143.51	_	` '
3	Cercospora fusca	CBS 106.14	_	dothistromin (12)
1	Cercospora italica	CBS 130.32	_	()
,	Cercospora kaki	CBS 128.39	_	
, j	Cercospora kikuchii	CBS 128.27	++	
_	Cercospora kikuchii	CBS 135.28	+ +	
3	Cercospora ligustrina	CBS 148.59	<u>'-</u> '	ligustrone A(6), B(7), C(8)
)	Cercospora magnoliae	CBS 541.63	_	ngustrone A(0), D(7), C(0)
)	Cercospora malyacearum	CBS 126.26	+	
l	Cercospora malvicola	CBS 548.71		
	•		, <b>+</b>	
?	Cercospora medicaginis	CBS 108.22	++	(4.4)
	Cercospora melonis	CBS 161.60	-	(14)
	Cercospora mercurialis	CBS 551.71	-	1 (14)
	Cercospora microsora	CBS 552.71	-	dothistromin(12)
	Cercospora musae	CBS 143.36	-	
	Cercospora nicotianae	CBS 131.32	+	
	Cercospora olivascens	CBS 253.67	_	
)	Cercospora oryzae	CBS 145.37	+	
)	Cercospora personata	CBS 220.31	++	
	Cercospora plantaginis	CBS 252.67	+	
!	Cercospora plumbaginea	CBS 553.71	_	
	Cercospora psoraleae-bituminosae	CBS 554.71	_	
ļ	Cercospora rautensis	CBS 555.71	-	
í	Cercospora rhapisicola	CBS 282.66	-	
í	Cercospora rosicola	CBS 138.35	_	dothistromin(12)¶
•	Cercospora rubi	CBS 256.35	_	dothistromin(12)
}	Cercospora salina	CBS 141.60	_	• •
)	Cercospora scirpicola	CBS 104.40	_	(19), (20), (22)
)	Cercospora setariae	CBS 494.71	+	(2), (3), (4), (5)
	Cercospora smilacis	CBS 556.71	<u>-</u>	dothistromin(12,13), averufin and averythrin
	Cercospora simucis Cercospora taiwanensis	CBS 139.35	-	mellein(9), 4-hydroxymellein(10), taiwapyrone(1
3	Cercospora unamunoi	CBS 156.62	+	
1	Cercospora unamunoi Cercospora vaginae	CBS 140.34	<del>-</del>	¶
			+	II
	Carcaspora violas			
5	Cercospora violae Cercospora zebrina	CBS 151.49 CBS 129.39	<del>-</del>	

<sup>\*</sup> CBS = Centraal Bureau voor Schimmelcultures, Baarn, Netherlands. IPV = Istituto di Patologia Vegetale, Università di Milano, Italy. † +, + + shows qualitatively the production of cercosporin. ‡ From prof. V. D'Ambra, Università di Padova, Italy. § From prof. E. Schlösser, Institut für Pflanzenkrankheiten der Universität, Bonn, Germany. || CBT = Cercospora beticola toxin. ¶ Structural work on other metabolites is in progress.

of C. melonis on TLC, the structure (14) was attributed to this latter.

The macrolide dehydrocurvularin (19), a known metabolite of *Curvularia* sp. [21] was isolated from *C. scirpicola*, together with a new compound (20). The structure of 19 was easily established by comparison of spectral data and optical rotation with those of the literature

[22]. Spectral data for 20 and conversion of both 19 and 20 to the same diacetate (21) indicated that 20 must be a monoacetate of 19. The presence of a MeCO group and the lack of a chelated OH in the NMR spectrum of 20 led

us to attribute to this compound the structure of 11-O-acetyldehydrocurvularin (20). A small amount of another metabolite was also obtained, the NMR and MS of which, compared with those of 9 and 10, indicated that it is the new cis-4,6-dihydroxymellein (22).

The extracts from a few strains of *C. beticola* and *C. bertoreae* contain a yellow substance, that is named CBT (*Cercospora beticola* toxin) in Table 1. The presence of this metabolite was previously reported by Schlösser, who named and partially characterized it, and studied its phytotoxic and antibiotic activity [23]. We have found CBT in the mycelia of some strains of *C. beticola* isolated from infected sugarbeets, and also in that of the original strain of Dr. Schlösser, kindly provided by him.

Although the substance is rather unstable, we have been able to obtain it in a reasonably pure state. Therefore the allegation of Balis and Payne [8] that it is a mixture of cercosporin and of fatty acids, is wrong. Structural work on CBT is in progress in our laboratories. The substance is also of interest, as it appears together with cercosporin in a few active strains, and preliminary experiments could suggest a possible synergism in the phytotoxic activity of both compounds. Further investigations on this particular subject are also in progress.

### **EXPERIMENTAL**

Mp's are uncorrected UV spectra were measured in 95% EtOH. NMR spectra were recorded at 100 MHz, chemical shifts are in pp, (δ), from TMS as internal standard. Column chromatography and TLC were performed with Si gel. Unless otherwise indicated the purity of the products was checked by TLC, NMR and MS and deemed sufficient for the purposes of structural elucidation.

Materials and methods. The 61 species of Cercospora which have been examined are reported in Table 1. Most of them were obtained from the Centraal Bureau voor Schimmelcultures, Baarn, Holland. A survey of the different factors (culture medium, temperature, pH, light, addition of specific substances, etc.) influencing the growth led us to choose the following standard conditions for the cultures to be screened. The strains were cultivated on potato-agar medium, obtained by boiling 200 g potatoes for 30 min, filtering, adding 20 g glucose and 13 g agar, diluting to 1 l. and adjusting the pH to 6.5-6.8, in Roux flasks at 22-24°, containing 100 ml each of the culture medium. After a growth period of 15-20 days, the content of each flask was extracted twice with 100 ml EtOAc, and the extract dried on Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and evaporated in vacuo at 40°. The metabolites were isolated by PLC or column chromatography on Si gel. It was observed that all strains showed better production on media containing agar than in liquid media. For some species (6, 21, 24, 27, 40, 42, 65) the addition of 2% of yeast and for others (11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 36, 42, 47, 49) of a further 10% of glucose to the standard medium increased the production of metabolites, whereas addition of biotin, thiamine or  $\beta$ -alanine [9] had no effect. Ferrous salts apparently induced a deeper pigmentation, but no systematic investigation was carried out.

246 G. Assante et al.

Cercospora setariae. Extraction with EtOAc of 35 Roux flasks gave after evaporation of the solvent, 0.5 g of crude products; by PLC with  $C_6H_6$ -Et<sub>2</sub>O-formic acid (50:50:1) 5 metabolites were obtained and identified as follows. *Cercosporin* (1, 20 mg).

2',2"-diacetylcercosporin (2). 45 mg of a red powder, mp 80-82°. (Found: C, 63.17; H, 4.50.  $C_{33}H_{30}O_{12}$  requires: C, 64.07; H, 4.89%); MS 618; UV  $\lambda_{max}(mn)$ : 290, 297sh, 485, 600 and 640 (8 32700, 32400, 21800, 8600, 12000); IR  $\nu_{max}^{Nujol}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1740 (Ac), 1620 (conj. CO); CD (in EtOH, c 2.1 × 10<sup>-2</sup> g/100 ml): 246, 297, 320, 352 and 410 nm ( $\Delta \varepsilon$  + 23.5, -43.5, -7.95, +2.94, -7.35); NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  0.55 (d, 2 Me; J = 6 Hz), 1.70 (2 Ac),

3.73 and 3.0 (m, 2  $-\underline{CH}_2$  $-\dot{C}H$ -O), 4.28 (2 OMe), 4.68 (m,

2—CH<sub>2</sub>—CH—O), 5.8 (s, O—CH<sub>2</sub>—O), 7.04 (s, 2 arom. H), 14.76 (2 chel. OH).

2'-Acetylcercosporin (3). 15 mg yield with mp  $133-134^{\circ}$ ; MS 576; UV  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (nm): 253, 291, 299sh, 480, 590sh and 640 ( $\epsilon$  15 500, 23 000, 23 300, 14 100, 5000, 6200); IR  $\nu_{\text{max}}^{\text{Nujol}}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>. 3450 (OH), 1740 (Ac), 1620 (conj. CO); CD (in EtOH, c 0.9 × 10<sup>-2</sup> g/100 ml): 240, 297, 352 and 410 nm ( $\Delta\epsilon$  + 23.6, -42.2, +1.92, -8); NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>);  $\delta$  0.56 and 0.64 (d, 2 Me), 1.66 (Ac), 2.80 - 3.80

 $(m, -CH_2 - CH - O)$  and  $2 - CH_2 - CH - O)$ , 4.24 and 4.22  $(OMe) = 4.64 (m, -CH_2 - CH_2 - OA)$ , 5.76 (s. O—CH\_2 O)

(OMe), 4.64 (m, —CH<sub>2</sub>—<u>CH</u>—OAc), 5.76 (s, O—<u>CH</u><sub>2</sub>—O), 7.04 (s, 2 arom. H), 14.82 and 14.76 (2 chel. OH).

2',2"-Dibenzoylcercosporin(4). 5 mg of a red solid, mp 120–123 : (Found: C, 68.76; H, 4.60.  $C_{43}H_{34}O_{12}$  requires: C, 69.6: H. 4.62%); MS 742; UV  $\lambda_{max}$  (nm): 224, 270, 475 and 565 (ε 36700, 16300, 11800, 4300); IR  $\nu_{max}^{neat}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1715 (OCOPh), 1620 (conj. CO); CD (in EtOH, c 1.23 × 10<sup>-2</sup> g/100 ml): 240, 297, 318 and 410 nm (Δε + 21, -24.2, -6.95, -3.62); NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):

0.83 (d, 2 Me), 3.40–3.86 (m, 2 —<u>CH</u><sub>2</sub>—CH—O), 4.32 (2 OMe),

5.0-5.2 (m, 2 -CH<sub>2</sub>-CH-OCOPh), 5.62 (s, O-CH<sub>2</sub>-O), 6.80 (s, 2 arom. H), 7.0-7.40 (10 arom.), 14.70 (2 chel. OH).

2'-Acetyl-2"-benzoylcercosporin (5). 25 mg, red crystals mp 153–155°; (Found: C, 67.00; H, 4.74.  $C_{38}H_{32}O_{12}$  requires: C, 67.05; H, 4.75%); MS 680; UV  $\lambda_{\rm max}$  (nm). 223, 273, 380sh, 480, 570 and 620 ( $\epsilon$  41 500, 24 400, 4550, 18 700, 5850, 4000); IR  $\nu_{\rm max}^{\rm Nujoi}$  cm  $^{-1}$ : 1740 (Ac), 1720 (OCOPh), 1620 (conj. CO); CD (in EtOH, c 3.21 × 10  $^{-2}$  g/100 ml): 240, 298, 320, 360 and 410 nm ( $\Delta\epsilon$  + 16.2, - 20, - 4.86, + 0.42, - 3.82); NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>).  $\delta$  0.53 and 0.82

(d, Me), 1.61 (Ac), 2.92-3.88 (m,  $2 - \underline{CH}_2 - CH - O$ ), 4.28 and

4.30 (OMe), 4.65 (m,  $\text{CH}_2$ — $\frac{\dot{\text{CH}}}{\text{CH}}$ —OAc), 5.07 (m,  $\text{CH}_2$ — $\frac{\dot{\text{CH}}}{\text{CH}}$ —OCOPh), 5.70 (d, O— $\frac{\dot{\text{CH}}}{\text{CH}_2}$ —O; J 7 Hz), 6.85 and 7.06 (s, 2 aromatic protons), 7.16–7.50 (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 14.66 and 14.80 (2 chel. OH).

Hydrolysis of the esters (2-5). To 5 mg (2-5 dissolved in MeOH, were added 10 mg MeONa, at room temp. for 1 day; all the products after acidification, gave (1), identified by TLC comparison.

Cynodontin. A strain of Cercospora cari (5 flasks), gave, after PLC a few mg of cynodontin; it was identified by TLC and mp comparison with an authentic sample and by MS of the tetra-acetate (Py and Ac<sub>2</sub>O), m/e: 454, 412, 370, 328, 286, 257, 229.

Cercospora melonis. Isolation and identification of metabolite 14. A strain of C. melonis was grown on potato-agar in the usual manner for 1 month. Mycelia were crushed and extracted twice with EtOAc. The less polar compound 14 was separated from the crude mixture by PLC in  $C_6H_6$ -Et<sub>2</sub>O-formic acid (50/50/1).

2,5,7-Trihydroxy-3-methoxy-1,4-naphthaquinone (14). Mp 255° (dec.); (Found: C, 55.16; H, 3.73.  $C_{11}H_8O_6$  requires: C, 55.94; H, 3.41%); MS m/e (rel. int): 236 (100), 207 (8), 190 (20), 179 (10), 165 (42), 137 (27), 121 (34); UV  $\lambda_{max}$  (nm): 225sh, 269.5, 320, 384 and 470sh ( $\varepsilon$  12600, 15300, 6550, 3140, 1200), basic EtOH 227, 291, 374 and 580 ( $\varepsilon$  23 200, 24 400, 5600, 1750);  $TR v_{max}^{RB}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3360 (OH), 1650 (conj. CO) and 1615, NMR (DMSO):  $\delta$  3.88

(OMe), 6.94 and 6.50 (d, 2 arom. m-H; J 2.5 Hz), 12.2 (chel OH). 2,5,7-Triacetoxy-3-methoxy-1,4-naphthaquinone (15). 20 mg 14 in 0.2 ml of dry  $C_3H_3N$  and 0.4 ml  $Ac_2O$  were left overnight at room temp. Dilution with  $H_2O$ , extraction with  $Et_2O$  gave 15 as a yellow solid mp 145–147° ( $Et_2O$ );  $IR \nu_{max}^{KBr}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1780 (Ac), 1680 (conj. CO), 1630 and 1600, NMR ( $CDCl_3$ ):  $\delta$  2.43, 2.38 and 2.33 (Ac), 4.15 (OMe), 7.80 and 7.21 (d, 2 arom. m-H).

5-Hydroxy-2,3,7-trimethoxy-1,4-naphthaquinone (16). 10 mg 14, dissolved in MeOH, were treated with an ethereal soln of  $CH_2N_2$ ; evap gave orange crystals of 16, mp 90–92°; MS 264; UV  $\lambda_{\max}$  (nm): 266, 312, 390 and 430sh ( $\epsilon$  20000; 10700, 3000, 2940), NMR (acetone– $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  4.08, 4.07 and 3.95 (OMe), 7.03 and 6.66 (d, 2 arom. m-H), 12.13 (OH). Compound 17; NMR (acetone– $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  4.70 and 4.50 (OMe), 7.03 and 6.56 (d, arom. m-H), 12.10 (OH). Compound 18; NMR (acetone– $d_6$ ):  $\delta$  4.0 (OMe), 7.08 and 6.55 (d, arom. m-H), 11.64 (OH). The compound 18 is different from 14 as shown by the NMR spectrum of the mixture and by TLC in three solvents.

Cercospora scirpicola. Identification of metabolites. A strain of C. scirpicola was grown as usual for 3 weeks; the crude extract was separated by PLC in  $C_6H_6$ – $Et_2O$ –formic acid (50:50:1) as eluent; 3 main products were obtained: the less polar one was dehydrocurvularin (19), mp 224°, identified by MS and NMR spectra. The more polar compound was 11-O-acetyldehydrocurvularin (20), mp 105–110°;  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$  – 31.7° (in MeOH, c 0.4); UV  $\lambda_{max}$  (nm): 222, 232sh, 275 and 308 ( $\epsilon$  10 200, 8800, 5800, 4900); NMR (acetone– $d_6$ /DMSO– $d_6$ ).  $\delta$  1.10 (d, Me; J 6 Hz), 1 2 2.1 (6 aliphatic protons), 2.09 (Ac), 3.74 (q, Ar— $CH_2$ —CO),

4.94 (m, O—<u>CH</u>—Me), 6.26–6.48 (2 aromatic and 2 vinylic protons). 5 mg of **20** were acetylated with Py and Ac<sub>2</sub>O at room temp. for 12 hr., to give the diacetate (**21**) identical to the product obtained by acetylation of **19**. The third compound was 4,6-dihydroxymellein (**22**), white solid, mp 183–185°; (Found: m/e 210.0566  $\pm$  0.004. C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>10</sub>O<sub>5</sub> requires M, 210.0528), MS m/e. 210, 192, 177, 166, 150, 137, 121; UV  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (nm): 223sh, 267 and 302 ( $\epsilon$  12600, 10700, 5800); IR  $\nu_{\text{max}}^{\text{ChCl}_3}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1670 (CO), NMR (acetone–d<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  1.45 (d, Me), 4.56 (H–4), 4.67 (H–3;  $J_{3, \text{Me}}$  6 Hz), 6.33 and 6.49 (d, 2 arom. m-H; J 2.5 Hz), 11.26 (chel. OH).

Cercospora beticola toxin. (CBT) A strain of C. beticola (IPV-F 573), was grown as usual. Mycelia were extracted twice with EtOAc. Extracts were adsorbed on the top of a chromatographic column and eluted with a mixture of CHCl<sub>3</sub> and MeOH. CBT was obtained with CHCl<sub>3</sub>-MeOH 9:1. Yellow solid, mp >  $300^{\circ}$  (dec.);  $[\alpha]_{\rm R}^{20} + 326^{\circ}$  (in MeOH, c 0.16); UV  $\lambda_{\rm max}$  (nm) 344, 435 and 455sh; IR  $\nu_{\rm max}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3450 (broad OH), 1730 (CO), 1610 (conj. CO), 1450; a hydrogenation product (Pd 10% on BaSO<sub>4</sub>) had M<sup>+</sup> 640.

Acknowledgments—We thank Prof. P. J. Scheuer (University of Hawaii) for a gift of 5,7-dihydroxy-1,4-naphthaquinone, Prof. F. Piozzi (University of Palermo) for a sample of cynodontin, Prof. P. Salvadori (University of Pisa) for CD measurements, Prof. V. D'Ambra (University of Padova) and Prof. E. Schlösser (University of Bonn) for strains of Cercospora beticola.

# REFERENCES

- 1. Chupp, C. (1956) A Monograph of the Genus Cercospora. Ithaca, N.Y.
- Schlösser, E. (1971) Phytopath. Medit. 10, 154; and preceding papers.
- Kikuchi, R. (1924) The Scientific Researches of the Alumni Association of the Utsunomiya Agricultural College (Japan), Vol. I, 7-25.
- Kuyama, S. and Tamura, T. (1957) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 79, 5725; Kuyama, S. (1962) J. Org. Chem. 27, 936.
- Lousberg, R. J. J. Ch., Weiss, U., Salemink, C. A., Arnone, A., Merlini, L. and Nasini, G. (1971) Chem. Commun. 1463.
- Yamazaki, S. and Ogawa, T. (1972) Agr. Biol. Chem. 36, 1707.

- 7. Locci, R., Merlini, L., Lousberg, R. J. J. Ch. and Nasini, G. (1973) Abstracts XXIV IUPAC Congress, Hamburg, p. 222; and unpublished work from these laboratories.
- 8. Balis, C. and Payne, M. G. (1971) Phytopathol. 61, 1477.
- Mumma, R. O., Lukezic, F. L. and Kelly, M. G. (1973) Phytochemistry 12, 917.
- 10. Venkataramani, K. (1967) Phytopathol. Z. 58, 379.
- 11. Fajola, A. O. (1973) Abstr. 2nd Int. Congr. Plant Pathol. 0670, Minneapolis.
- Okubo, A., Yamazaki, S. and Fuwa, K. (1975) Agr. Biol. Chem. 39 (5), 1173.
   Yamazaki, S., Okubo, A., Akiyama, Y. and Fuwa, K.
- (1975) Agr. Biol. Chem. 39, 287.
- 14. Andreetti, G. D. personal communication.
- 15. Arnone, A., Camarda, L., Merlini, L. and Nasini, G. (1975) Gazz. Chim. Ital. 105, 1093.

- 16. Camarda, L., Merlini, L. and Nasini, G. (1976) Phytochemistry 15, 537.
- 17. Thomson, R. H. (1971) Naturally Occurring Quinones, p. 504. Academic Press, London.
- 18. Assante, G., Camarda, L., Merlini, L. and Nasini, G. (1977) Phytochemistry 16, 1125.
- 19. Gallagher, R. T. and Hodges, R. (1972) Australian J. Chem. 25, 2399.
- 20. Moore, R. E., Singh, H., Chang, C. W. J. and Scheuer, P. J. (1967) Tetrahedron 23, 3271.
- 21. Turner, W. B. (1971) Fungal Metabolites, p. 173. Academic Press, London.
- 22. Munro, H. D., Musgrave, O. C. and Templeton, R. (1967) J. Chem. Soc. C., 947.
- 23. Schlösser, E. (1962) Phytopath. Z. 44, 295.